arXiv:1401.7650v1 [math.AP] 29 Jan 2014

LARGE GLOBAL-IN-TIME SOLUTIONS OF THE PARABOLIC-PARABOLIC KELLER-SEGEL SYSTEM ON THE PLANE

PIOTR BILER, IGNACIO GUERRA, AND GRZEGORZ KARCH

Abstract. As it is well known, the parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel system of chemotaxis on the plane has global-in-time regular nonnegative solutions with total mass below the critical value 8π. Solutions with mass above 8π blow up in a finite time. We show that the case of the parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel is different: each mass may lead to a global-in-time-solution, even if the initial data is a finite signed measure. These solutions need not be unique, even if we limit ourselves to nonnegative solutions.

Key words and phrases: chemotaxis, parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel model, large global-in-time solutions
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q92, 35K40.

1. Introduction

We consider in this paper the simplest doubly parabolic version of the Keller-Segel model of chemotaxis

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,\ t > 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\tau v_t = \Delta v - \gamma v + u, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,\ t > 0, \tag{2}$$

where u denotes the density of a population and v – the density of a chemical, called chemoattractant, which is secreted by the microorganisms and makes them to attract themselves. The equations are supplemented with the initial data

$$u(\cdot, 0) = u_0, \quad v(\cdot, 0) = 0, \tag{3}$$

which we suppose to be a finite Radon measure $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We choose $v(x, 0) = 0$ for simplicity, however, the analogous computations could be done with every sufficiently regular $v(x, 0)$. Here, the constant parameter $\tau > 0$ is related to the diffusion rate of the chemical, and usually in applications τ is small since the chemoattractant diffuses much faster than the population. We are interested, however, in

Date: January 30, 2014.

arbitrary positive values of τ. The coefficient $\gamma \geq 0$ is the consumption rate of the chemical.

It is well known that mass $M = \int u(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x$ is conserved for solutions of (1)–(3). Moreover, positivity of the initial data is preserved during the evolution: $u(x,t) \geq 0$, $v(x,t) \geq 0$, see the references listed below. However, we will consider in the sequel solutions of arbitrary sign, and thus, we will not use that positivity-preserving property.

The limit case $\tau = 0$ is called the parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel model, and has been much more studied than the doubly parabolic one with $\tau > 0$. For the relations between those two systems as $\tau \searrow 0$, see, e.g., [17], [5] and [14].

Let us first recall that in the parabolic-elliptic case ($\tau = 0$) the existence of solutions of (1)–(2) has been studied in, e.g., [2], [18], [13], [1]. In particular, for $M > 8\pi$, the corresponding nonnegative solution cannot be global in time. Moreover, measures as initial conditions with atoms bigger than 8π were obstructions even for the local-in-time existence of solutions. Self-similar asymptotics for $M < 8\pi$ has been proved in [9], and asymptotics at the critical value $M = 8\pi$ has been considered in [7] (the radial case) and [8] (the general case). Continuation past blowup time was the topic of [4] (the radial case) and [11] (the general case).

In the following theorem, which is the main result of this note, we show that one should not expect any critical mass determining the existence of solutions to parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel model (1)–(3) with sufficiently large $\tau > 0$.

Theorem 1. *For each $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ there exists $\tau(u_0) > 0$ such that for all $\tau \geq \tau(u_0)$ the Cauchy problem (1)–(3) has a global-in-time mild solution satisfying $u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{w}}([0,\infty);\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2))$. This is a classical solution of the system (1)–(2) for $t > 0$, and satisfies*

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{1-1/p}\|u(t)\|_p < \infty \tag{4}$$

for each $p \in [1,\infty]$.

Theorem 1 improves results for the parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel model ($\tau > 0$) in [3], [10] and [15], where the global existence of solutions for $M < 8\pi$ on the whole plane has been considered. Self-similar solutions with large masses (above 8π) have been constructed in [6]. Blowup of radially symmetric solutions in balls is a very recent result, [16]. On the other hand, there exist large (unstable) stationary solutions in balls, see [3, Ch. 6].

All those results show that, unlike the parabolic-elliptic case, there is no threshold value of mass for the local existence of solutions as well as for the global-in-time existence.

Remark 2. In general, solutions of the Cauchy problem (1)–(3) constructed in Theorem 1 need not be unique. This striking property is seen when we consider certain radially symmetric nonnegative self-similar solutions for the system (1)–(2) with $\gamma = 0$ — which are of the scaling invariant form

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{t} U\left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad v(x,t) = V\left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \tag{5}$$

for some functions U, V of one variable. They have been constructed using ODE methods in [6] and they correspond to the initial data $u_0 = M\delta_0$, $v_0 = 0$, with the Dirac measure δ_0. In particular, for $0 < \tau \le \frac{1}{2}$, they exist exactly in the range $M \in [0, 8\pi)$. However, for $\tau > \tau^*$ (≈ 0.64) there exist self-similar solutions with $M \in [0, M_\tau]$ with at least two solutions for each $M \in (8\pi, M_\tau)$, $M_\tau > 8\pi$, and even $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} M_\tau = \infty$ since it follows from [6, Th. 4] that $M_\tau \ge \frac{4\pi}{\mathrm{e}} \frac{\tau-1}{\log \tau}$.

Finally, let us formulate an important consequence of out result. For arbitrary $M > 0$ and for all $\tau \ge \tau(M)$, Theorem 1 provides us with a solution of the Cauchy problem (1)–(3) with $u_0 = M\delta_0$ and $\gamma = 0$. By a standard argument, one may show that it has the self-similar form (5). On the other hand, by Remark 2, there exists another self-similar solution with the same value of $M > 8\pi$. This is, to the best of our knowledge, *the first nontrivial example of nonuniqueness* of mild solutions to a chemotaxis system with measures as initial conditions.

2. Preliminaries

Let us denote by $\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}$ the heat semigroup on $\mathbb{R}^2$ acting as the convolution with the Gauss–Weierstrass kernel $G(x,t) = (4\pi t)^{-1} \exp\left(-|x|^2/(4t)\right)$. The standard estimates for the regularization effect and the decay rates for solutions of the heat equation have the following form

$$\|\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} z\|_q \le C t^{1/q - 1/r} \|z\|_r \tag{6}$$

and

$$\|\nabla \mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} z\|_q \le C t^{-1/2 + 1/q - 1/r} \|z\|_r \tag{7}$$

for all $1 \le r \le q \le \infty$. Here, $\|\cdot\|_q$ denotes the usual $L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$ norm, and C's are generic constants independent of t, u, z, ... , which may, however, vary from line to line. $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denotes the Banach space of finite Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the usual total variation norm, and

the weak convergence tested with all continuous compactly supported functions $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

An immediate consequence of (6) is the bound

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{1-1/p} \|\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} u_0\|_p \le C \|u_0\|_1.$$

Analogously, it is known that the inequality

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{1-1/p} \|\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} \mu\|_p \le C \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tag{8}$$

holds true for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The *mild* formulation of system (1)–(2), together with initial conditions (3) is the integral equation (a. k. a. the Duhamel formula)

$$u(t) = \mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} u_0 + B(u,u)(t), \tag{9}$$

where the quadratic form B is defined by

$$B(u,z)(t) = -\int_0^t \left(\nabla \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)\Delta}\right) \cdot \left(u(s)\, Lz(s)\right)\, \mathrm{d}s, \tag{10}$$

with the solution operator of (2)

$$Lz(t) = \tau^{-1} \int_0^t \left(\nabla \mathrm{e}^{\tau^{-1}(t-s)(\Delta-\gamma)}\right) z(s)\, \mathrm{d}s. \tag{11}$$

The existence of solutions of the quadratic equation (9) is established by the usual approach using the contraction argument in a suitable functional space of vector-valued functions. In our case, that space is denoted by

$$\mathcal{E}_p = \{u \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}((0,\infty); L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)) : \quad \sup_{t>0} t^{1-1/p} \|u(t)\|_p < \infty\},$$

and the norm $\|\!|\, . \,|\!\|_p$ in $\mathcal{E}_p$ is defined as

$$|\!|\!| u |\!|\!|_p \equiv \sup_{t>0} t^{1-1/p} \|u(t)\|_p < \infty. \tag{12}$$

Then, we will show that actually $u \in \mathcal{X}$ where

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{w}}([0,\infty); \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \mathcal{E}_p.$$

Remark 3. Note that solutions of the equation $u = y_0 + B(u,u)$ (more general than (9)) in a Banach space $(\mathcal{Y}, \|.\|_{\mathcal{Y}})$ provided by that contraction argument (or, equivalently, by the Picard iteration scheme) are locally unique, but they need not be unique in general as Fig. 1 shows for $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$ and for the quadratic equation $u = y_0 + \eta u^2$ with a fixed $\eta > 0$ and $|y_0| < \frac{1}{4\eta}$.



FIGURE 1. Two solutions u_1 and u_2 of the quadratic equation $u = y_0 + \eta u^2$.

3. Proof of the main result

The proof of Theorem 1 is split into two parts. In the first, solutions of (9) are constructed in $\mathcal{E}_p$ with a fixed $p \in \left(\frac{4}{3}, 2\right)$. Then, they are shown to attain the initial data in the weak sense, i.e. they belong to $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{w}}([0,\infty); \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \mathcal{E}_p$.

The first part of the proof is based on two lemmata.

Lemma 4. *If* $\|\!| B(u,z) |\!\|_p \le \eta \|\!| u |\!\|_p \, \|\!| z |\!\|_p$ *and* $\|\!| \mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} u_0 |\!\|_p \le R < \frac{1}{4\eta}$, *then equation (9) has a solution which is unique in the ball of radius* $2R$ *in the space* $\mathcal{E}_p$. *Moreover, these solutions depend continuously on the initial data, i.e.* $\|\!| u - \tilde{u} |\!\|_p \le C \|\!| \mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}(u_0 - \tilde{u}_0) |\!\|_p$.

Proof. This is a standard reasoning based on the Banach contraction theorem applied to the operator $\mathcal{E}_p \ni u \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} u_0 + B(u,u)(t)$ in the ball of radius $2R$ in the space $\mathcal{E}_p$. □

Lemma 5. *Let* $p \in \left(\frac{4}{3}, 2\right)$. *The bilinear form* B *is bounded from* $\mathcal{E}_p \times \mathcal{E}_p$ *into* $\mathcal{E}_p$:

$$\|\!| B(u,z) |\!\|_p \le \eta \|\!| u |\!\|_p \, \|\!| z |\!\|_p$$

with a constant $\eta = \eta(\tau)$ *independent of* u, z *and* γ, *such that* $\eta(\tau) \to 0$ *as* $\tau \to \infty$.

Proof. First, we estimate the L^q-norm of the linear operator L defined in (11) acting on $z \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ using the estimates (6)–(7). Assuming

that $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$, $p < \infty$, and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain

(13)

$$\begin{aligned}\|Lz(t)\|_q &\le C\tau^{-1}\int_0^t (\tau^{-1}(t-s))^{-1/2+1/q-1/p}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma\tau^{-1}(t-s)}\|z(s)\|_p\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\le C\tau^{-1/2-1/q+1/p}\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2+1/q-1/p}s^{1/p-1}\left(\sup_{0<s\le t} s^{1-1/p}\|z(s)\|_p\right)\mathrm{d}s\\ &\le C\tau^{-1/2-1/q+1/p}t^{1/q-1/2}\left(\sup_{0<s\le t} s^{1-1/p}\|z(s)\|_p\right)\end{aligned}$$

since $-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p} > -1$ and $\frac{1}{p}-1 > -1$. $1/p - 1 > -1$.

Next, we may prove the estimate of the bilinear form B. In the following computations, we fix the exponents p and q to have

$$\frac{4}{3} < p \le 2 \le p' = \frac{p}{p-1} < q < \frac{2p}{2-p}, \tag{14}$$

so that $\left(\frac{p}{p-1}, \frac{2p}{2-p}\right) \neq \emptyset$, and the relation $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < 1$ defines the exponent $r \in (1,p)$. Consequently, we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r} = -\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q} > -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{3}{2} > -1,$$

as well as $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, using the inequality (13), we have the following estimate of the bilinear form B

(15)

$$\begin{aligned}\|B(u,z)(t)\|_p &\le C\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2+1/p-1/r}\|u(s)\,Lz(s)\|_r\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\le C\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2+1/p-1/r}\|u(s)\|_p\|Lz(s)\|_q\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\le C\tau^{-1/2-1/q+1/p}\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2-1/q}s^{1/p-1}\left(\sup_{0<s\le t} s^{1-1/p}\|u(s)\|_p\right)\\ &\qquad\times\, s^{-1/2+1/q}\left(\sup_{0<s\le t} s^{1-1/p}\|z(s)\|_p\right)\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2-1/q}s^{1/q+1/p-3/2}\,\mathrm{d}s = C(p,q)t^{1/p-1}$, recalling definition (12) of the norm $|\!|\!|\,.\,|\!|\!|_p$, we obtain the inequality

$$|\!|\!|B(u,z)|\!|\!|_p \le \eta |\!|\!|u|\!|\!|_p |\!|\!|z|\!|\!|_p,$$

where $\eta = C\tau^{-1/2-1/q+1/p}$ with the exponent $-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p} < 0$ by the last inequality in (14). □

Proof of Theorem 1. Given $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, by Lemma 5 we may choose $\tau(u_0)$ so large to have $\sup_{t>0} t^{1-1/p}\|\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}u_0\|_p \le C\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \frac{1}{4\eta(\tau)}$ for

all $\tau \geq \tau(u_0)$. Thus, the existence of solutions in $\mathcal{E}_p$ follows by the application of Lemma 4.

Now, using the estimates in Lemma 5 we can interpolate the estimate $u \in \mathcal{E}_p$ for $p \in \left(\frac{4}{3}, 2\right)$ to get $u \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma$ with $\sigma \in [1, 2)$, and next extrapolate this to for any $\sigma \in (2, \infty]$. Then, a standard reasoning involving parabolic regularization effect for nonhomogeneous heat equation (following e.g. [12, Th. 4.1]) will show that $u(x,t)$ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty)$.

First, for the interpolation argument, let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(u,z)(t)\|_1 &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} \|u(s) Lz(s)\|_1 \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} s^{1/p-1} \|\!|u(s)|\!\|_p s^{1/p'-1/2} \|Lz(s)\|_{p'} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= C\tau^{-1/2-1/p'+1/p} \|\!|u|\!\|_p \|\!|z|\!\|_p \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with the exponent $-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} < 0$, by (13) with $q = p' \in (2,3)$ since $p \in \left(\frac{4}{3}, 2\right)$. This leads to

$$\|u(t)\|_1 \leq \|\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} u_0\|_1 + \|B(u,u)(t)\|_1 \leq C\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + C\|\!|u|\!\|_p^2,$$

and $u \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma$ with $\sigma \in (1,2)$ follows from this and $u \in \mathcal{E}_p$ with $p < 2$ by the interpolation. Here and below, the dependence of constants C on τ is not important, so we skip this.

Then for the extrapolation, given $\sigma \in (2, \infty)$ there exist p and r such that

$$1 < \frac{2\sigma}{2+\sigma} < r < \frac{2\sigma}{1+\sigma} < \frac{4}{3} < p < 2.$$

Applying the proof of Lemma 5 we get for q defined as $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}$ (so that the assumption $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{2}$ is satisfied)

$$\|Lu(t)\|_q \leq Ct^{1/q-1/2} \|\!|u|\!\|_p.$$

Next, we estimate the bilinear form B in L^σ as

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(u,u)(t)\|_\sigma &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2+1/\sigma-1/r} \|u(s)Lu(s)\|_r \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2+1/\sigma-1/r} s^{1/p-1} s^{1/q-1/2} \|\!|u|\!\|_p^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq Ct^{1/\sigma-1} \|\!|u|\!\|_p^2 \end{aligned}$$

since $-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{r} > -\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{2+\sigma}{2\sigma} > -1$ and $\frac{1}{p}-1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2} = -1+\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2} > -1$ as requested in the proof. The above inequalities lead to

$$\|\!|u|\!\|_\sigma \leq \|\!|\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta} u_0|\!\|_\sigma + \|\!|B(u,u)|\!\|_\sigma \leq C\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + C\|\!|u|\!\|_p^2.$$

The proof in the case $p = \infty$ is completely analogous.

Now, we proceed to the proof that this solution attains the initial data in the weak sense, i.e. this satisfies $u \in \mathcal{C}_{\rm w}([0,\infty);\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2))$. For that purpose first we define for a fixed $p \in \left(\frac{4}{3},2\right)$ a subspace of $\mathcal{E}_p$ $\quad \mathcal{Y} = L^\infty((0,\infty);\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \mathcal{E}_p$ endowed with the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + |\!|\!| u |\!|\!|_p$. We will show that Lemma 4 applies to equation (9) in the space $\mathcal{Y}$, i.e. the following estimate $\|B(u,v)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \le \eta(\tau)\|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is valid with $\eta(\tau) \to 0$ as $\tau \to \infty$. The L^1 estimate (16) together with the bilinear bound for B in the norm of $\mathcal{E}_p$ established in Lemma 5, and the estimate $\|\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}u_0\|_1 \le \|u_0\|_1$, show that for fixed $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and τ sufficiently large equation (9) has a solution in $\mathcal{Y}$.

Next, to prove that the constructed solution is in fact in the space $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}_{\rm w}([0,\infty);\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \mathcal{E}_p \subset \mathcal{Y}$ we will show that $u(t) \rightharpoonup u(s)$ as $t \searrow s \ge 0$ in the sense of the weak convergence of measures. For that purpose, we need only to show that $B(u,u)(t) \rightharpoonup B(u,u)(s)$ as $t \searrow s$; in particular $B(u,u)(t) \rightharpoonup 0$ as $t \to 0$. Indeed, the sufficiency of that property is seen from the representation

$$u(t) - u(s) = \mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}u_0 - \mathrm{e}^{s\Delta}u_0 + B(u,u)(t) - B(u,u)(s),$$

and from the fact that the heat semigroup is weakly continuous in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$: $\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}u_0 \rightharpoonup \mathrm{e}^{s\Delta}u_0$ as $t \searrow s \ge 0$. Now we write

$$B(u,u)(t) - B(u,u(s)) = I_1 + I_2, \tag{17}$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^s \nabla\left(\mathrm{e}^{(s-\sigma)\Delta} - \mathrm{e}^{(t-\sigma)\Delta}\right) \cdot (u(\sigma)Lu(\sigma))\,\mathrm{d}\sigma$$

and

$$I_2 = -\int_s^t \nabla \mathrm{e}^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} \cdot (u(\sigma)Lu(\sigma))\,\mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

Putting $u = z$ in (16) we get

$$\|u(\sigma)Lu(\sigma)\|_1 \le C\sigma^{-1/2}. \tag{18}$$

Since the Gauss–Weierstrass kernel satisfies

$$\left\|\nabla\big(G(\cdot,s-\sigma) - G(\cdot,t-\sigma)\big)\right\|_1 \le C(t,s)(s-\sigma)^{-1/2}$$

with $C(t,s) \to 0$ as $t \searrow s \ge 0$, by $\int_0^t (t-\sigma)^{-1/2}\sigma^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{d}\sigma = \pi$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we arrive at $\|I_1\|_1 \to 0$.

Concerning I_2 we note that if $s > 0$, then by estimates (18), (7) and $\int_s^t (t-\sigma)^{-1/2}\sigma^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{d}\sigma = \int_{\frac{s}{t}}^1 (1-\rho)^{-1/2}\rho^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{d}\rho \to 0$ as $t \searrow s$, we get $\|I_2\|_1 \to 0$ as $t \searrow s > 0$. For $s = 0$, taking a smooth compactly

supported test function $\varphi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| - \int \int_0^t \nabla \cdot (\mathrm{e}^{(t-s)\Delta}(u(s)Lu(s)))\,\mathrm{d}s\,\varphi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \right| \\ = & \left| \int_0^t \int \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)\Delta}(u(s)Lu(s)) \cdot \nabla\varphi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s \right| \\ \leq & \; C \int_0^t \sigma^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{d}\sigma \;\; \|\nabla\varphi\|_\infty \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $t \to 0$. Since such test functions are dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\|B(u,u)(t)\|_1$ are uniformly bounded for $t > 0$, the weak convergence $B(u,u)(t) \rightharpoonup 0$ as $t \to 0$ follows. □

Remark 6. For a fixed τ and for sufficiently small $\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$, the solution of (1)–(3) constructed in the space $\mathcal{X}$ is unique. Indeed, the existence of the *unique* local-in-time solution is proved in the space $\mathcal{X}_T = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{w}}([0,T];\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \{u : (0,T) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \;\; : \;\; \sup_{0<t<T} t^{1-1/p}\|u(t)\|_p < \infty\}$ for sufficiently small $T > 0$. For sufficiently small $\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ and small $T > 0$, the right-hand side of equation (9) defines the contraction on a suitably chosen ball $\{u : \sup_{0<t<T} t^{1-1/p}\|u(t) - \mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}u_0\|_p \leq r\}$ so that there is the unique solution $u(t)$ on $[0,T]$ which is, moreover, close to u_0 and to $\mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}u_0$. Then, solutions of (9) become regular for all $t > 0$, so that their uniqueness on the whole half-line $(0,\infty)$ is guaranteed by a standard argument, see e.g. [12, Th. 4.5 (ii)].

Acknowledgements

This work originates from discussions when the first and the third authors have visited Universidad de Chile in December 2012, supported by FONDECYT grant 1090470, and the second author — by FONDECYT 1130790. The preparation of this paper was also partially supported by the Foundation for Polish Science operated within the Innovative Economy Operational Programme 2007–2013 funded by European Regional Development Fund (Ph.D. Programme: Mathematical Methods in Natural Sciences) and the NCN grant 2013/09/B/ST1/04412.

References

[1] J. Bedrossian, N. Masmoudi, *Existence, uniqueness and Lipschitz dependence for Patlak-Keller-Segel and Navier-Stokes in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with measure-valued initial data*, 1–60, arXiv:1205.1551v1

[2] P. Biler, *The Cauchy problem and self-similar solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation,* Studia Math. **114**, 181–205 (1995).

[3] P. Biler, *Local and global solvability of some parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **8**, 715–743 (1998).

[4] P. Biler, *Radially symmetric solutions of a chemotaxis model in the plane – the supercritical case*, 31–42, in: *Parabolic and Navier-Stokes Equations*, Banach Center Publications **81**, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2008.

[5] P. Biler, L. Brandolese, *On the parabolic-elliptic limit of the doubly parabolic Keller-Segel system modelling chemotaxis*, Studia Math. **193** (2009), 241–261.

[6] P. Biler, L. Corrias, J. Dolbeault, *Large mass self-similar solutions of the parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel model*, J. Math. Biology **63** (2011), 1–32.

[7] P. Biler, G. Karch, Ph. Laurençot, T. Nadzieja, *The 8π-problem for radially symmetric solutions of a chemotaxis model in the plane*, Math. Methods in the Applied Sci. **29** (2006), 1563–1583.

[8] A. Blanchet, J. A. Carrillo, N. Masmoudi, *Infinite time aggregation for the critical Patlak-Keller-Segel model in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **61** (2008), 1449–1481.

[9] A. Blanchet, J. Dolbeault, B. Perthame, *Two-dimensional Keller-Segel model: optimal critical mass and qualitative properties of the solutions*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **44**, 32 pp. (2006).

[10] V. Calvez, L. Corrias, *The parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel model in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Commun. Math. Sci. **6** (2008), 417–447.

[11] J. Dolbeault, Ch. Schmeiser, *The two-dimensional Keller-Segel model after blow-up*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **25** (2009), 109–121.

[12] Y. Giga, T. Miyakawa, H. Osada, *Two-dimensional Navier-Stokes flow with measures as initial vorticity*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **104** (1988), 223–250.

[13] H. Kozono, Y. Sugiyama, *Local existence and finite time blow-up of solutions in the 2-D Keller-Segel system,* J. Evol. Equ. **8** (2008), 353–378.

[14] P.-G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Small data in an optimal Banach space for the parabolic-parabolic and parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel equations in the whole space*, Adv. Diff. Eq. **18** (2013), 1189–1208.

[15] N. Mizoguchi, *Global existence for the Cauchy problem of the parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system on the plane*, Calc. Var. **48** (2013), 491–505, DOI 10.1007/s00526-012-0558-4.

[16] N. Mizoguchi, M. Winkler, *personal communication*, 2013.

[17] A. Raczyński, *Stability property of the two-dimensional Keller-Segel model*, Asymptot. Anal. **61** (2009), 35–59.

[18] T. Senba, T. Suzuki, *Weak solutions to a parabolic-elliptic system of chemotaxis*, J. Functional Anal. **191** (2002), 17–51.

(P. Biler) Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

E-mail address: piotr.biler@math.uni.wroc.pl

(I. Guerra) Departamento de Matemática y de la Ciencia de Computación, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Chile

E-mail address: ignacio.guerra@usach.cl

(G. Karch) Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

E-mail address: grzegorz.karch@math.uni.wroc.pl

URL: http://www.math.uni.wroc.pl/~karch